

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,090		12/13/2001	David Clifford Long	FIS920010163US1	6883
32074	7590	03/13/2003			-
INTERN	ATIONAI	L BUSINESS MAC	EXAMINER		
DEPT. 180	3		POKER, JENNIFER A		
BLDG. 30					
2070 ROU		YON NY 12522	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOPEWE	LL JUNC I	TION, NY 12533	<u> </u>		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•			am				
		Application	n No.	cant(s)					
ν,	055 0.45	10/016,090)	LONG ET AL.					
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Jennifer A.		2832					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no even ply within the statut d will apply and will tte, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be timory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	B December 20	<u>001</u> .						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	This action is n	on-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	ion of Claims								
4)[🛚	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.								
5 \□	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.								
-7(1 ☐(8	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election rea	nuirement						
/—	ion Papers	701 01001101110	quiront						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.							
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 21 May 2002 is/are: a	a) accepted o	r b)⊠ objected to by tl	ne Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) t	oe held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ ap	proved b) disappro	ved by the Examin	er.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been	received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		• •						
*	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the principle application from the International Essential attached detailed Office action for a list.	Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage				
14) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).				
	a)								
Attachme	nt(s)								
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		· ==	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					
S Patent and	Trademark Office								

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Claims 1-13, drawn to a dielectric substrate, in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are not independent and distinct as required by the statute, 35 USC 121, however stating that the inventions are distinct (page 2, second full paragraph). This is not found persuasive because the inventions are distinct in the fact that the method of manufacturing a dielectric substrate may in fact by made by a materially different process such as by forming the plurality of layer integrally, and forming apertures in the substrate to allow the legs of the inductors through, as opposed to obtaining a plurality of layers and stacking them.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 14-20, drawn to a method of forming a dielectric substrate, are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 7.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement.

37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

. Application/Control Number: 10/016,090

Art Unit: 2832

Although the applicant states that each reference was to be found within the filed application, none were found. If the applicant wishes for the IDS to be considered, the applicant must supply a copy of each document.

4. The information disclosure statement filed December 13, 2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Drawings

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "lines" as claimed in claims 2-6 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

6. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the "lines" as mentioned in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,090

Art Unit: 2832

Claim Objections

7. Claim 5 is objected to because recites the limitation "the ends". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

8. Claim 6 is objected to because recites the limitation "the length". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 10. Claims 2-6, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 2, the applicant states, "...wherein the top and bottom portions comprise lines situated in respective layer of the dielectric body." The wording of this claim is very ambiguous. Does the applicant mean that the top and bottom portions comprise lines or the dielectric body comprises the lines?

Regarding claim 3, the applicant states, "...wherein the top and bottom portions each comprise two parallel lines in juxtaposition. What "two parallel lines" is the applicant talking about? Is the applicant just stating that the top and bottom portions are located side by side to the next top and bottom portions?

Application/Control Number: 10/016,090

Art Unit: 2832

Regarding claim 9, the applicant states, "...further comprising deleting a portion of the multiturn inductor." It was unclear what the applicant meant by this limitation. It was understood by the examiner that the inductor was simply reduced in size, making it smaller.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 12. Claims 1-6, 8, 10, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by U.S. Patent Number 6,459,352 to Liu, et al.

Liu, et al, discloses a transformer comprising:

- (1) A dielectric area consisting of three different dielectric layers,
- (2) Two inductors, each comprising a number of turns, each turn having a top and a bottom interconnecting portion, parallel to one another but in different layers of the dielectric area, and two side vias parallel to one another and connect the top and bottom portions at their ends.

The top interconnect is located in the top layer of the dielectric area, and the bottom interconnect is located in the bottom layer of the dielectric area.

For the two inductors (windings) located within the dielectric area, a connection to the "inside" terminals, i.e. the terminals at the respective centers of the windings, can be made by tapping into the

`Application/Control Number: 10/016,090

Art Unit: 2832

inside terminals from a layer above or below the layer in which the primary winding and the secondary winding are fabricated. Moreover, tapping into the outside terminal can make a connection to the "outside" terminal of the secondary winding from a layer above or below the layer in which the primary winding and the secondary winding are fabricated. (Abstract) (Figure 1) (Columns 9 and 10, lines 66-67 and 1-5 respectively)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S Patent Number 6,459,352 to Liu, et al, in view of U.S. Patent Number 5,884,990 to Burghartz, et al.

Liu, et al, discloses the claimed invention except for the toroidal shape of the inductor.

Burghartz, et al, discloses a high quality factor spiral and toroidal inductor and transformer deposited in a trench formed in a dielectric layer. A toroidal inductor has a larger inductance than the spiral inductor. The magnetic flux is confined within the loop and does not stray, which allows for high Q-factors at high inductance values and reduces the possibility for coupling between adjacent inductors. (Column 8, lines 56-67)

One skilled in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have found it obvious to combine the teachings of Liu, et al, with the teachings of Burghartz, et al, and form a toroidal shaped inductor in order to allow for high Q-factors and to reduce the possibility of coupling between adjacent inductors.

- Application/Control Number: 10/016,090

Art Unit: 2832

15. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent Number 6,459,352 to Liu, et al.

Liu, et al, states, that an individual inductor's size limits the use of inductors to build transformers for RF and mixed signal circuits. Second, the inductor's quality factor would be too low. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to utilize the teachings of Liu, et al, and incorporate a way of decreasing the size of the inductor within the dielectric are in order to increase the inductance. (Column 3, lines 1-3)

16. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,459,352 to Liu, et al, in view of U.S. Patent Number 5,461,353 to Eberhardt.

Liu, et al, discloses the claimed invention except for the plate added to tune the inductor.

Eberhardt discloses a printed circuit board inductor wherein an inductance tuning means, such as metallized runners, are incorporated. (Column 4, lines 53-63) The inductance adjustment runners are metallized runners, which short some of the turns of inductor. In order to increase the inductance value of inductor, one or more of the adjustment runners are cut using well known laser trimming equipment or by simply mechanically cutting one or both runners. (Column 3, lines 51-60)

One skilled in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have found it obvious to combine the teachings of Liu, et al, with the teachings of Eberhardt and incorporate an inductance tuning means such as metallized runners connected to the inductors in order to increase or decrease the inductance.

· Application/Control Number: 10/016,090

Art Unit: 2832

Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

U.S. Patent Number 6,008,102 to Alford, et al, discloses a method forming a three-dimensional

integrated inductor.

U.S. Patent Number 6,292,086 to Chu discloses a high-Q inductor device formed within a

substrate.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Jennifer A. Poker whose telephone number is 703-305-4037. The examiner can

normally be reached on 6:00-3:30, Mon.-Fri. (alternating Fridays off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Elvin G. Enad can be reached on 703-308-7619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-

7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

jap

March 7, 2003

EI VIN ENAD

Page 8

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNULUGY CENTER 2800

03/10 /03